

How Budget Provisions Would Impact 2018 County Tier Designations & JDIG Cap

County	Remove Adjustment Factors 16↑ / 16↓	Current 2018 Tier Calculation		Remove Adjustment Factors (Section 15.2*)		JDIG Cap Amount (Section 15.1)	
		Tier (40/40/20)	Effective Ranking After Adjustments	Tier (40/40/20)	Economic Distress Ranking	Cap Amount	Economic Distress Ranking
Alamance		2	69	2	64		64
Alexander		2	65	2	60		60
Alleghany	↑	1	31	2	47	>=\$5M	47
Anson		1	11	1	11	>=\$5M	11
Ashe	↑	1	34	2	56		56
Avery		2	71	2	68		68
Beaufort		2	55	2	43	>=\$5M	43
Bertie		1	4	1	4	>=\$5M	4
Bladen		1	12	1	11	>=\$5M	11
Brunswick	↓	3	83	2	80		80
Buncombe		3	91	3	90		90
Burke		2	60	2	52		52
Cabarrus		3	95	3	94		94
Caldwell		2	54	2	41	>=\$5M	41
Camden	↑	1	40	2	77		77
Carteret	↓	3	82	2	78		78
Caswell		1	19	1	22	>=\$5M	22
Catawba		2	67	2	62		62
Chatham		3	100	3	100		100
Cherokee	↑	1	33	2	54		54
Chowan		1	22	1	27	>=\$5M	27
Clay	↑	1	37	2	66		66
Cleveland	↓	2	53	1	38	>=\$5M	38
Columbus		1	10	1	10	>=\$5M	10
Craven		2	58	2	49	>=\$5M	49
Cumberland	↓	2	43	1	20	>=\$5M	20
Currituck	↑	2	80	3	98		98
Dare		2	76	2	76		76
Davidson		2	72	2	68		68
Davie		2	77	2	79		79
Duplin	↓	2	44	1	23	>=\$5M	23
Durham		3	93	3	92		92
Edgecombe		1	1	1	1	>=\$5M	1
Forsyth		2	75	2	72		72
Franklin		2	73	2	70		70
Gaston		2	63	2	58		58
Gates	↑	1	28	2	42	>=\$5M	42
Graham		1	21	1	25	>=\$5M	25
Granville	↓	3	81	2	74		74

How Budget Provisions Would Impact 2018 County Tier Designations & JDIG Cap

County	Remove Adjustment Factors 16↑ / 16↓	Current 2018 Tier Calculation		Remove Adjustment Factors (Section 15.2*)		JDIG Cap Amount (Section 15.1)	
		Tier (40/40/20)	Effective Ranking After Adjustments	Tier (40/40/20)	Economic Distress Ranking	Cap Amount	Economic Distress Ranking
Greene		1	15	1	15	>=\$5M	15
Guilford		2	74	2	70		70
Halifax		1	2	1	2	>=\$5M	2
Harnett		2	59	2	50		50
Haywood		3	84	3	81		81
Henderson		3	92	3	91		91
Hertford		1	9	1	9	>=\$5M	9
Hoke	↓	2	50	1	34	>=\$5M	34
Hyde		1	18	1	21	>=\$5M	21
Iredell		3	96	3	95		95
Jackson	↑	1	39	2	75		75
Johnston		3	87	3	86		86
Jones		1	23	1	31	>=\$5M	31
Lee		2	56	2	44	>=\$5M	44
Lenoir		1	14	1	14	>=\$5M	14
Lincoln		3	86	3	85		85
Macon	↑	1	38	2	73		73
Madison		2	66	2	61		61
Martin		1	16	1	15	>=\$5M	15
McDowell	↑	1	32	2	51		51
Mecklenburg		3	94	3	92	<=\$20M	92
Mitchell		1	24	1	32	>=\$5M	32
Montgomery	↑	1	30	2	46	>=\$5M	46
Moore		3	88	3	87		87
Nash	↓	2	48	1	30	>=\$5M	30
New Hanover		3	97	3	96		96
Northampton		1	13	1	11	>=\$5M	11
Onslow	↓	2	52	1	36	>=\$5M	36
Orange		3	90	3	89		89
Pamlico		2	68	2	63		63
Pasquotank		1	25	1	37	>=\$5M	37
Pender		3	85	3	83		83
Perquimans		1	26	1	38	>=\$5M	38
Person	↑	1	36	2	64		64
Pitt	↓	2	49	1	33	>=\$5M	33
Polk	↑	2	78	3	82		82
Randolph		2	61	2	53		53
Richmond		1	6	1	6	>=\$5M	6
Robeson		1	3	1	3	>=\$5M	3

How Budget Provisions Would Impact 2018 County Tier Designations & JDIG Cap

County	Remove Adjustment Factors 16↑ / 16↓	Current 2018 Tier Calculation		Remove Adjustment Factors (Section 15.2*)		JDIG Cap Amount (Section 15.1)	
		Tier (40/40/20)	Effective Ranking After Adjustments	Tier (40/40/20)	Economic Distress Ranking	Cap Amount	Economic Distress Ranking
Rockingham	↓	2	46	1	27	>=\$5M	27
Rowan		2	64	2	59		59
Rutherford	↓	2	45	1	25	>=\$5M	25
Sampson	↓	2	41	1	17	>=\$5M	17
Scotland		1	5	1	5	>=\$5M	5
Stanly		2	70	2	67		67
Stokes		2	62	2	54		54
Surry	↓	2	51	1	34	>=\$5M	34
Swain		1	27	1	40	>=\$5M	40
Transylvania	↑	2	79	3	84		84
Tyrrell		1	20	1	24	>=\$5M	24
Union		3	98	3	97		97
Vance		1	7	1	7	>=\$5M	7
Wake		3	99	3	99	<=\$20M	99
Warren		1	17	1	19	>=\$5M	19
Washington		1	8	1	8	>=\$5M	8
Watauga		3	89	3	88		88
Wayne	↓	2	42	1	18	>=\$5M	18
Wilkes		2	57	2	47	>=\$5M	47
Wilson	↓	2	47	1	29	>=\$5M	29
Yadkin	↑	1	29	2	45	>=\$5M	45
Yancey	↑	1	35	2	57		57

*Section 15.2 eliminates the adjustment factors for the 2019 tier calculation. It does not change the tier designations for 2018.

↑ County would shift up one tier (= less distressed)

↓ County would shift down one tier (= more distressed)